



Humber, Ford and Stoke Prior Neighbourhood Development Plan

Residents Survey Lists of comments (anonymised)

Version 1.0 Initial release

Prepared by Tony Cramp, Data Orchard CIC
August 2014

info@dataorchard.co.uk

Contents

Introduction	3
Version history	3
Presentation of results	3
Survey methodology.....	3
Comments	4
Section 1: Your Background	5
Q4a: If other please specify:	5
Section 2: Our Local Community.....	6
Q7a. What do you like or value most about living in the Group Parish?	6
Q11a. If 'Yes' do you have any suggestions for its improvement [The parish website]? .	10
Section 3: Our Facilities.....	12
Q12a Other facility and importance.....	12
Q12c. If 'Yes' where would be the best position for it from these suggestions or elsewhere?	15
Q13. Additional community facilities and frequency of use	21
Section 4: Your Concerns.....	22
Q14a. Other causes of concern and the extent.....	22
Section 5: Our Roads, Footpaths & Transport.....	24
Section 6: Our Future Housing.....	24
Q23a. Do you have any further comments on where houses should be built?.....	24
Q25a. Any further comments on possible protected land areas:	28
Q26a. If 'Yes' what would be the ideal number of houses in a small estate?.....	30
Q26a. Any further comments on estates:.....	34
Q27a. Other land uses and support / opposition.....	36
Section 7: Our Businesses	38
Q28a. Other area of employment that should be encouraged or discouraged	38
Q31. What kind of business?	39
Q32. Number of employees?.....	39
Q34. Do you think there are ways residents and/or the Parish Council could help you expand your business (e.g. use of the web site)?	39
Section 8: Our Environment	41
Q40. Do you have any subjects or issues you feel the NDP should tackle that are not addressed above?	41
Catch-all for any comments written elsewhere on the questionnaire.....	44

Introduction

Humber, Ford and Stoke Prior group parish council is undertaking a Neighbourhood development plan and has established a steering group to perform this task.

In order to inform the development plan, a number of consultations have taken place with residents of the group parish. These include delivering, in May 2014, a package comprising a cover letter, map, a questionnaire for each resident aged 16 and over, and a young people's questionnaire for all those aged 5 to 15. These were subsequently collected between late May and early June.

The information from the adult residents' questionnaires returned has been collated and analysed. This report lists the comments made to all the open text questions. A separate report presents the results of the survey. This report has been independently produced by Data Orchard CIC¹, commissioned by the Humber, Ford and Stoke Prior Group Parish Council, and is based directly on the residents' responses to the distributed questionnaires.

Version history

Version 1.0 – Initial report

Presentation of results

This report provides the full details of all the free text comments on the returned questionnaires. They appear as written except where changes are necessary for reasons of anonymity and confidentiality. Where such changes have been made they are enclosed in <chevrons>.

Survey methodology

See main report.

¹ Data Orchard C.I.C. (company number 08674626) is a community interest company limited by guarantee.

Comments

The survey was divided into 8 sections as follows:

- [Section 1: Your Background](#)
- [Section 2: Our Local Community](#)
- [Section 3: Our Facilities](#)
- [Section 4: Your Concerns](#)
- [Section 5: Our Roads, Footpaths and Transport](#)
- [Section 6: Our Future Housing](#)
- [Section 7: Our Businesses](#)
- [Section 8: Our Environment](#)

Section 1: Your Background

Q4a: If other please specify:

Q4a. If other please specify:
At home
Home with mum & dad
Live with family
live with parents
living with family
living with family
Living with parent
Living with parents
Living with parents
My husband and I have lived in three properties in the parish of <named parish> during our entire married life of xx years, raising <x> children in the community
not me directly, parents
owned by business
Owned by family member
Owned by parents
Owned by parents
Parents
parents home
Parents house
Parents own
student at Uni
THIS IS NO BUSINESS OF YOURS.

Section 2: Our Local Community

Q7a. What do you like or value most about living in the Group Parish?

Q7a. What do you like or value most about living in the Group Parish?
- friendly atmosphere - considerate neighbours -the choice of how involved you wish to be with community activities
- Like-minded people nearby - The beauty of this area - quiet, but not being too isolated
- The countryside around us - How quiet it is - friendly and close neighbours Q5 comment next to answer "student"
- The peace and quiet - Knowing the people as I walk through Risbury
** Please note I have only just moved to the area (4 weeks ago!) so cannot really comment on some questions Q9 comment next to question - "*** please see above"
Ability to enjoy a comfortable life-style without external impact/interference by way of pollution, anti-social behaviour and other forms of 'disturbance'.
After living in a large town the sense of coming home.
All of the above
All of the above
All of the above are part of living in a village
all the above
All the above
All the above ticked attributes
As above
Away from the roar of traffic. The general friendliness of Herefordshire people and of (most of) those who come from elsewhere.
Beautiful countryside Good neighbour
Beautiful countryside, quiet, no street lights, good neighbours. Places to walk within short drive/cycle of shops. Good local primary school within walking distance.
Beautiful surroundings and wildlife. Tranquility. Low density population. Relatively quiet roads. Friendly and inclusive village life. Local very good school.
Being part of a small community
Being part of a wonderful group of people who look out for each other and will always help in emergencies. A sense of belonging.
Being part of a working rural community.
Big garden lovely views
Coming from <City in UK> I really enjoy the quiet and the clean air. I now know many of the people who live in our village who are friendly, welcoming and helpful - something to be cherished. Community spirit is also important
Comments next to question - written "was" in "important" column of "the quietness of the area" and "joining in community activities"
Community
Community feel
community spirit
Community spirit encouraged by activities such as community week and joint church events. Feeling safe, with not too much change imposed on the area.
Countryside enjoying my surroundings
Environment - must not be spoilt
Environment. Feeling part of a small community
Everything, the countryside, the people, the peace & quiet, friendly neighbours.
Familiarity, friendliness
Feeling like part of a community Having access to countryside having community activities - quizzes, cinema nights.
Feeling part of the community
Feeling part of the community
Feeling part of the community.
Footpaths & bridleways Access & freedom to roam
Friendliness in a quiet rural setting!

Humber, Ford and Stoke Prior Neighbourhood Development Plan
Residents Survey anonymised free text comments. Version 1.0 August 2014

Q7a. What do you like or value most about living in the Group Parish?
Friendly atmosphere; super scenery; slower and more comfortable speed of life;
friendly quiet community, low crime
Friendly residents. Social contact Caring community
Friendly rural area but close to amenities
Friendly rural community & peacefulness
Friendly rural community & peacefulness Q5 comment next to option "at home retired" - "(semi-retired)"
Friendships
Generally unspoilt countryside, space between properties, low noise levels.
Good community atmosphere and local events
Good community spirit
Good community spirit
Good neighbours and close, friendly community
Having spent the first <> or so years living in the city, I appreciate the space, the quiet and security of Stoke Prior. I feel "Safe" for me, my family and property. I have had <> children at S.P. school and valued them being able to walk there. I particularly value the CHOICE of engaging with the community or just doing my own thing, tending to do the latter!
Homely feeling
How quiet and the fact it's such a nice place to be living in. Also there is so many friendly people living here.
I am "newish" to the village & have been made to feel welcome
I like our countryside and small community
I like the community spirit & events organised
I like the community, social side having lived all my life here
I like the friendship & community atmosphere
I like the open fields the trees and brooks the space to walk and think. The friendliness of the people in Risbury and the way Stoke Prior & Humber do things together as in community week as well as the group church services.
I love the village community and rural aspect
I value the fact that it is a peaceful friendly village and not over crowded
I value the peacefulness and the unspoilt countryside.
If you would like to join groups - or attend entertainments you are able.
Independence
It is a beautiful peaceful part of the country.
It is a lovely quiet area to live, neighbours are friendly and lots going on for children to join and have fun
It is not like it used to be - too many non-herefordians
It is our home and we have lived here since <Many years> - our children went to the local primary school. I value that the most as I was <involved with> the PTA and think the school adds enormous life to the village. We have a lot of friends in the area, not particularly in the village, but we value our neighbours, care about the community and keeping our visual amenity sacrosanct and worth fighting for.
It is peaceful, so helps combat work-related stress. Helpful community Enjoy watching the changes in the scenery in the different seasons No hassles compared to living on a housing estate
It was better 20 years ago when people respected each other and did not force their lifestyles on others. Unfortunately Risbury is now not such a nice place to live. Although I cannot speak for other areas. I wish them better luck.
It's a lovely part of the world to live in. All neighbours friendly & caring. It's fun taking part & watching everybody enjoy the events that are organised
It's home and close to workplace
Its rural location and sparse population.
Knowing everyone & whilst not intruding on their life daily. Knowing that in any crisis there are friends and neighbours to call upon
Lack of street lamps - excellent Reasonably quiet
Living in a part of England where life is less frenetic, less traffic driven, and people are friendly, and helpful
Living in a quiet area
Living in a rural environment that is not isolated - however there are certain residents who would appear to wish to ride roughshod over the lives and feelings of others. INCLUDING vehicles left in intimidatory positions.
Living in a small rural community, knowing everyone in the area gives a feeling of security that is close to an extended family, where everyone cares for each other.

Q7a. What do you like or value most about living in the Group Parish?
Location
Location
Location and peaceful atmosphere
Lovely countryside. Friendly atmosphere. Wonderful local primary school., soon to be friendly school. Quiet roads. Pretty church. Easy access to Leominster and main roads.
Low traffic levels Low population Rural atmosphere, green belt feel Minimal housing
Mainly friendly & just feeling part of the community
Minding my own business
No neighbours
Nothing
One of the things I value most, is that, the Parish has kept a 'sense of place'. This is hard to keep in the readily expanding world. What is a 'sense of place'? Well it's lots of things - the organic growth and change and the history and geography of why people lived here, and live here - I won't go on, but if that sense of place can be kept, then those that live in it, also have it, and with that, the respect for ones surroundings.....
Open rural views from property
Peace & quiet & support of others when needed
Peace & quiet!
Peace & quiet, relative cleanliness, no street lights
Peace and quiet
Peace and quiet, private
peace and tranquillity
Peace quiet & open spaces isn't this the reason we live here...? We value the fact that the villages are small & tastefully developed with hedges, trees & open spaces between each property. Admittedly development is required for yours and our children. So, just remember if you want street lights & housing estates, Leominster's only 2 miles away so feel free to move and keep our village.
Peace, tranquility and aesthetics of the rural environment
People are friendly, get involved, care the area is quiet & peaceful one feels reasonably safe
Picturesque, rural location
Privacy
proximity to Leominster and shops
Q5 comment next to ticked "working >20miles" - wrote "varies"
Quiet
Quiet not many houses Country views
Quiet peaceful area. Exceptionally pleasant helpful neighbours
Quiet rural aesthetics.
Quiet rural community
Quiet, friendly, good neighbours
Quiet, little traffic noise but near enough to small town facilities. Friendly community & village activities. No light pollution. Feel safe at home & around village
Quiet, Space, Friendly neighbours, lots of social activities.
Quiet/community minded/access to countryside. Low light pollution/low crime Near town, but not part of it.
Quietness
Quietness
Relative isolation - not on a main route, but easy access to town Community spirit
Rural and quiet
Rural and quietness
Rural aspect
rural atmosphere
Rural atmosphere
Rural atmosphere/quietness V. IMP but sadly no longer like they were. There are too many people. Farmers are paid to have field margins to encourage birds and the use bird scarers to frighten them off. We want a peaceful and quiet rural environment.
Rural living
Rural location and atmosphere
Rural location with active farming community.

Q7a. What do you like or value most about living in the Group Parish?
Rural situation
Rural village life and community are highly prized having lived many years in London/SE
Rural yet close to town
Rural, quiet living. Greenfield views.
Rural, unspoilt countryside that is "far from the madding crowd"
Safe, quiet surroundings
Seclusion
Seclusion but near to good neighbours
Sense of community
Sense of community support
Sense of space and privacy
Small community
Small community, peaceful rural area, beautiful countryside
Social; Community atmosphere; close to Leominster; pub in village;
Solitude & sense of community
Space and privacy
That it is rural, quiet yet close to Leominster. Beautiful countryside.
That it's a typical British rural environment with lots of open spaces and wildlife.
The beauty and tranquillity of our parish unspoilt views, not overdeveloped with houses etc
The beauty of the countryside and the friendliness of the people. Being part of a reasonably (but not too) active community is also important. Not having motorways through the area is also important (Having come from <busy area of the UK>, this was a major reason to move here). Access to the motorways outside the county is, however, also important.
The community
The community feel
The community spirit
The countryside views and the people
The fact that it is still rural England
The feeling of peace
The friendly atmosphere and pretty safe environment - good neighbours, caring people
The isolation (no immediate neighbour)
The outstanding beauty & peace of the area & the abundance of bridleways/footpaths
The parish still retains many of the traditional rural values which are reflected in the community eg neighbourly and caring people
The peace and quiet is very important!!!
The peace and quiet. My neighbours No built up areas. Not living on an estate where every house is identical.
The peace and quiet; natural interests such as bats, rare great crested newts, owls and being in a SMALL hamlet with not real bother at all.
The people
The people
The property I live in, the view, my neighbours and the community.
The quietness of the area
The quietness of the area & knowing your neighbours
The relatively unspoilt rural environment. The beautiful views across Herefordshire. A good sized local community (c.500 people) - big enough to get things done and have the variety and new blood, but small enough to get to know lots of people. Fantastic (and growing) sense of community.
The rural area without being isolated.
The rural aspect of the parish, living in a tranquil atmosphere surrounded by abundant wildlife. I value the fact that we live in the countryside as distinct from the town, with all its pleasures, for example, quietness, the changing of the seasons and birdsong
The rural atmosphere
The rural atmosphere
The rural environment
The rural location + countryside
The security and continuity of having lived in the same place for many years. Feeling of connection to nature and

Q7a. What do you like or value most about living in the Group Parish?
the past and the future.
The sense of community
The sense of community and individual people using their talents for the good of others or the whole
The serenity, seclusion, community feel, the people! (There are enough people but I appreciate that we need to develop and support the next generation of our parish so perhaps development wouldn't kill us.)
The strong sense of community
There is a wide variety of activities for those who are less able bodies and the community works hard to create this.
There is always someone around to help you
This is a beautiful quiet area. very friendly and caring neighbourhood. You feel safe and the events at the village hall are great fun, especially community week.
Value the beauty of the countryside, open space and peace.
Variation Rural life
We live in a lovely area and want to nurture and safeguard it for future generations, but allowing for the need for change.
We wanted to be part of the community but certain persons made it difficult and therefore I have opted out of the village scene. Certain persons think they have control over the village and treat so called outsiders with contempt even though these original family were not from Hereford!
Wildlife - flora and fauna. Remaining LACK of urbanisation and industrialisation and signage and facilities - though this is continually threatened, on an increasing basis - eg by suggestions covered in this questionnaire.

Q11a. If 'Yes' do you have any suggestions for its improvement [The parish website]?

Q11a. If 'Yes' do you have any suggestions for its improvement?
(was not aware there was one)
But I will look it up.
comment outside box "didn't know there was one"
Correct spelling errors! Put available documents / information on side-panels, so easier to see and scroll through. Need a bit more introductory information on each page.
Could not find the website using google search with the above address. Found it using www.hfandsp.org.uk as written on the chairman's letter.: www.hfspgroup.org.uk Could the Parish Pump be uploaded so local new and activities were always on view.
Early days yet - not sure if this was done locally within the parish. If not and outsourced - shame on the parish. There are people with the skills within the parish to construct and run a website.
Far too outdated information. Should have a regular blogger that keeps the parish updated on community events and happenings etc. The header image is also pretty poor
Good and easy to use website
Higher quality site images less clunky text/boxes/ appearance. More user friendly interface - better sitemap i.e. drop down headers - Too many headers on current site. Community forums / suggestion section More extensive photograph section - community posted pictures Own personal login for all members of parish - to post in forums, partake in polls(<illegible>), and post pictures etc.
I think the website is excellent!
I was unaware of it until now, but will have a look today.
I was unaware there was one but will now have a look
Is much easier to find now
It is clearly "work in progress" with some pages empty, BUT easy to navigate once back to main home page.
Looks good!!
More detail needed
More frequent updates. More interactive pages e.g. interactive map. Its very hard to find if you don't know the url. Put the Pump online please, or as an ebulletin, saving paper, easier to read for those that have access to email. Its badly copied and sometimes difficult to read.
NA Q11 comment next to question - Not yet
No
No

Q11a. If 'Yes' do you have any suggestions for its improvement?
No
No
Parish Pump - online version. Gallery of old photos/community events
Possibly a 'facebook' type facility (or link) for those who like dialogue
Q10 commented "just" next to question
Q10 comments next to question - "very slow!"
Q11 comment above box "I didn't know there was one"
wasn't aware of its existence
We could do with much faster broadband

Section 3: Our Facilities

Q12a Other facility and importance

Q12a. Other facility 1	Importance
A local shop	Fairly important
a shop	Fairly important
childrens play area	Fairly important
Childrens play area in Stoke Prior	Fairly important
community local shop	Fairly important
Football team	Fairly important
Nursery	Fairly important
Nursery	Fairly important
Nursery & Preschool	Fairly important
Nursery or pre school	Fairly important
Nursery school	Fairly important
Preschool	Fairly important
Pre-school	Fairly important
Pre-school	Fairly important
pre-school	Fairly important
Preschool group or play area	Fairly important
Shop	Fairly important
Shop	Fairly important
Shop	Fairly important
Shop	Fairly important
Village shop	Fairly important
Village shop	Fairly important
village shop	Fairly important
village shop	Fairly important
Village shop in The Lamb	Fairly important
Community centre at Humber Close	Fairly unimportant
nursery	Fairly unimportant
A faster more usable broadband service	Highly important
A nursery at Stoke Prior	Highly important
Broad band	Highly important
Bus service from village to Ford Bridge and back again to town.	Highly important
Centre for activities for the young	Highly important
Children's play area Risbury	Highly important
community shop part time	Highly important
Community shop/part time	Highly important
fibre optic broadband	Highly important
Fitness centre/gym	Highly important
Local shop	Highly important
local shop	Highly important
Local shop selling newspapers, eggs, milk locally produced jams etc	Highly important
main sewer, drains	Highly important
mains sewer	Highly important
Nursery	Highly important
Nursery	Highly important
nursery	Highly important
nursery	Highly important
Nursery	Highly important
Nursery	Highly important

Humber, Ford and Stoke Prior Neighbourhood Development Plan
Residents Survey anonymised free text comments. Version 1.0 August 2014

Q12a. Other facility 1	Importance
Nursery	Highly important
Nursery	Highly important
Nursery	Highly important
Nursery	Highly important
nursery	Highly important
nursery & pre school	Highly important
Nursery and pre-school	Highly important
Nursery and pre-school	Highly important
Nursery and pre-school, lucton - 8 am - 6pm This must cover 'normal' working hours for parents otherwise nurseries further away will be used.	Highly important
Nursery at Stoke Prior	Highly important
nursery or pre-school	Highly important
Nursery school (need)	Highly important
Nursery school at Stoke	Highly important
Nursery/Preschool	Highly important
Nursery/Pre-school	Highly important
nursery/pre-school	Highly important
Play area	Highly important
play area (Stoke Prior)	Highly important
Post office	Highly important
Post office Risbury	Highly important
Pre school	Highly important
Pre school	Highly important
pre school	Highly important
Pre school	Highly important
Preschoo	Highly important
Preschool	Highly important
Preschool	Highly important
Pre-school	Highly important
Pre-school	Highly important
pre-school	Highly important
pre-school	Highly important
Preschool in Stoke Prior	Highly important
Pre-school Risbury	Highly important
Preschool Stoke Prior	Highly important
Preschool/Nursery	Highly important
Pre-school/nursery	Highly important
Public tennis courts	Highly important
Risbury chapel	Highly important
Shop	Highly important
Shop	Highly important
Shop	Highly important
Shop	Highly important
Shops	Highly important
Space	Highly important
Sports centre and Astro	Highly important
Stoke Prior Pre school	Highly important
Support for young families e.g. creche/nursery	Highly important
Tennis court	Highly important
Village green	Highly important
village shop	Highly important
village shop	Highly important

Humber, Ford and Stoke Prior Neighbourhood Development Plan
Residents Survey anonymised free text comments. Version 1.0 August 2014

Q12a. Other facility 1	Importance
Village shop	Highly important
village shop	Highly important
Village shop	Highly important
Village shop P.O.	Highly important
More buses	
not important to 78 year olds	
Permissive footpaths	

Q12a. Other facility 2	Importance
a café	Fairly important
kids play and picnic area	Fairly important
nursery/pre-school	Fairly important
Occasional Doctor's surgery	Fairly important
pre-school	Fairly important
recycling centre (bins)	Fairly important
Small shop	Fairly important
Shop	Fairly important
Shop	Fairly important
Shop	Fairly important
Village green	Fairly important
Village shop	Fairly important
weekend newspaper deliveries at pub	Fairly important
pre school	Fairly unimportant
A pub in Risbury/Stoke Prior	Highly important
A pub in Stoke/Risbury	Highly important
Activities <extended?> in SPVH	Highly important
Broadband	Highly important
childrens play area	Highly important
Community area eg. BBQ/camping/picnic area	Highly important
drop ins' Doctor's/clinic/part time	Highly important
Farmers Market/occasional	Highly important
Green corridors - for long walks	Highly important
Local shop	Highly important
Nursery/preschool	Highly important
nursery/pre-school	Highly important
old people's club	Highly important
Preschool	Highly important
Pre-school	Highly important
pre-school	Highly important
Pre-school/Nursery Stoke Prior	Highly important
Pub	Highly important
Pub	Highly important
Public commons	Highly important
Public playing field	Highly important
Risbury Chapel	Highly important
Safe walking routes to Stoke Prior school i.e paths off lanes as no pavements	Highly important
Village green/playareas	Highly important
Youth Club	Highly important

Q12b. Other facility 3	Importance
Picnic area	Fairly important
village shop	Fairly important
(Zebra crossing outside Humber Close on main road)	Highly important
A shop/post office in Risbury	Highly important
A shop/post office in Risbury with sensible opening times	Highly important
Better village hall with parking	Highly important
Change church into shop/tearoom/ or use the pub	Highly important
Consideration for cyclists	Highly important
Local pub	Highly important
Local pub	Highly important
monthly weekend farmers market local produce/ WI market	Highly important
More of a 'heart' to Steensbridge and Stoke Prior - maybe a community-run shop?	Highly important
Play area	Highly important
Village shop/P.O.	Highly important

Q12b. Other facility 4	Importance
Pre-school	Fairly unimportant
Farm shop	Highly important
Footpaths and bridlepaths and cartracks reopened and kept open	Highly important
Village green	Highly important

Q12b. Other facility 5	Importance
None specified	

Q12c. If 'Yes' where would be the best position for it from these suggestions or elsewhere?

Q12c. If 'Yes' where would be the best position for it from these suggestions or elsewhere?
** Please see previous comment. I do not know the area sufficiently well to comment.
1 Between The Bury and Belmont
1 School 2 Pub
1) between The Burys Belmont 2) church
1) the school OR 2) between Bury and Belmont school first as it would alleviate parking problems (and thus safety issues) there.
1. the pub 2. the church
a) between the Bury and Belmont b) the church with extension
Access impossible. No parking at present - school would be best or back of church
Adjacent to the school.
All of the above are important to the community but not all are used by me
Any
Any of the above
Any site with easy access and plenty of parking
Anywhere where cars can park - off road and no steps (for disabled)
Anywhere with good access for elderly and disabled
Anywhere within 3/5 minutes walking time of the centre of Stoke Prior (e.g. the 'T' junction/pump) where there was parking and access available.
At or near the pub or church.
At Stoke school

Q12c. If 'Yes' where would be the best position for it from these suggestions or elsewhere?
At the church to allow cross over of people and activities, but a suitable car park would be essential.
At the pub. There is space for it there. Plenty of parking and they would compliment each other.
At the school
At the school
At the school
At the school
At the school hall
At the school hall
At the school or church
At the school to make maximum double use of the facilities
Behind Ashbed Cottage
Best - Between The Bury and Belmont At the school as second choice BUT (not enough land)
Between Bury + Belmont/ flat access, good parking.
Between Bury and Belmont
Between Bury and Belmont
Between Bury and Belmont
Between Bury and Belmont
Between Bury and Belmont
Between Bury and Belmont
Between the Bury - Belmont or the School. Advantages for/against with both
Between The Bury & Belmont
Between the Bury & Belmont. Next to the Trug. On Horse Charity <?> land opposite the lake at Wall End Farm
between the Bury and Belmont
Between The Bury and Belmont
Between The Bury and Belmont
Between the Bury and Belmont
Between The Bury and Belmont
Between the Bury and Belmont
between the Bury and Belmont
Between The Bury and Belmont
between the Bury and Belmont
Between the Bury and Belmont
Between the Bury and Belmont - New Build
Between the Bury And Belmont or the church would be my favoured options. Adequate parking is essential though local folk should be encouraged to walk!
Between the Bury and Belmont with adjoining sports field. village hall to include sports facilities.
Between the Bury and Belmont would also give space for "village green" and attendant activities.
Between the Bury and Belmont. People would not go up the hill to the school. I think the pub and the church should retain their original uses. The village hall needs to be in the centre of the village and I do not think that combining the two village halls would work.
Between the Hollies & The Trug Between The Bury & Belmont The Lamb if obtainable
Bury and Belmont
Bury and Belmont. Should not be linked to the school, pub or church as access to these may be an issue at some time in the future.
but perhaps at the school or pub (if the latter could be obtained); the church, I feel is not a good idea, imagine the heating costs!! Q12 comment next to "do you agree? yes no" - "not sure;"
By or in the church
By The Bury and Belmont
By the school
By the school, perhaps not at the school
Church
Close to main population
close to St Lukes church
Do not know anything about it
Does not apply to me.

Q12c. If 'Yes' where would be the best position for it from these suggestions or elsewhere?
Does not apply.
Don't know
either at pub or Bury & Belmont
Either attached to the church or between The Bury and Belmont (?Call it Beward<?> Hall?
Either between The Bury & Belmont or the church. School would involve the older members of our village walking up the steep lane unless they had use of car.
Flat land between Bury and Belmont
for the relocation of SP village hall has put 'I don't have a view on this' against yes/no options
Hall at the school
I am not sufficiently aware of the detail to determine this!
I believe at the pub or at least the centre of the village
I have no opinion on this
I have no views as to whether Stoke Prior hall should be re-located, but would object STRONGLY to it being relocated to the school
I think if school could gain a car park from a neighbouring piece of land then village hall would be best located in same area as parking and easy access for all is the key. Current village hall has no parking and in this day and age that's not practical.
I think the best position for Stoke Prior Village Hall is at The Lamb, making use of the space and bringing together two very important places for the community.
If pub privately owned between The Bury and Belmont
Important to have S.P. village hall IN the centre of village. Experience shows more people attend events in the centre than : eg. if held half mile away at the school Also important to develop a real village centre feel, with the pub and hall there. Car parking is also a factor: don't put the hall where other car parking is already a problem (school, pub). I favour the Bury/Belmont site, where space could permit a separate car park, decent sized hall AND probably other development alongside.
In the field next to the school, not on the playing area. This would be helpful for the Humber Close residents as well as the Risbury area.
It would be nice not to have treacherous steps to climb. If it would help to re-open 'The Lamb' as a community resource the hall could re-open there
Lamb Inn
Land between Bury and Belmont Land belonging to pub.
Leave the hall where it is and save any expense
Make parking better off the narrow road more accessible to wheelchairs
Must be centre of village
Must still be 'central' to village and easily accessible and safe parking area NOT the pub as needs to remain A PUB
Near school
Near the church - thus keeping a village centre - with a better approach than the present village hall.
Near to the school to support educational needs also and support the halls use
Next door to pub Q12 comment next to question - row "The local bus service" either side of tick in "fairly unimportant" column, wrote "not enough therefore"
Next to the School
Next to the School
Next to the school as each could benefit the other - must be plenty of off-road parking
No comment
No comment
No opinion
No particular opinion
No thoughts Q12 commented above box "N/A"
Not absolutely sure, as I don't live down that end
NOT at the school as there are always issues with the Education Authority when this has occurred in other places. Between The Bury and Belmont is a good idea.
Not at the school as there is already a lot of traffic when school closes - any activities at the village hall on the same site would only make things worse. As the pub has now been sold it would be unfair to expect the new landlord to loose some of his garden so my suggestion would be on the land between Belmont and the Bury

Q12c. If 'Yes' where would be the best position for it from these suggestions or elsewhere?
Not by the school - too far out. Pub, church or between Bury & Belmont would be fine . By the church seems to be the most sensible option as it would not affect anyone else & car parking could help the church & people using the bell tower.
Not sure, but adequate parking would be key
Not the pub, I think this should remain a business. VH should have disabled access!! If it wasn't any of the other suggestions it should be where there is plenty of parking, outside space, so that summer events / kids parties can be outside and a campsite (like Cawley VH where camping is allowed (Money spinner). School site or other side of Church
Not the school, because daytime events (clubs) would not be possible. Not the church because it has pews, not chairs & the heating would not be suitable. The land between the Bury & Belmont would seem most suitable (no more steps!) & car parking would be possible.
Off road parking make it more accessible, make more use for community projects
On a flat access area - with good parking
On ground between The Bury and Belmont to provide car parking which would help with events at church as well (weddings, funerals, baptisms and social events) Perhaps then the old village hall site could be made available for housing.
On the land between the Trugg and the Hollies as ample space for parking and is not massively overlooked. Given its limited use should not pose huge problems to neighbours. Could co-locate with a shop. if new hall was "eco-build" it could be built to have minimal impact visually, be energy efficient and a great focal point for village life.
Parking at the school is not sufficient. The church maybe a complicated arrangement Therefore I think maybe a fresh site, if the area between The Bury and Belmont is available, this is the best of the 3 proposed sites.
Parking important
Parking issues
Parking issues!
Probably the school but location is less important than proper access (disabled etc) and parking Q12 comment "Note: I find this question unconstructive - I think I should be asked what's important to the community..."
Pub
Pub
Pub
Pub
Pub
Pub
Pub
Pub
Pub Bury and Belmont
Pub & post office & village hall could all be located at The Lamb, using the room available with a degree of redevelopment. ie plenty of room for the proposal plus ample car parking on a fairly level site. Thinking of the number of old and disabled people who have trouble using the current village hall site.
Pub (Lamb Inn)
Pub (Lamb)
Pub or church
Pub or school
Pub site St. Luke's church or behind it.
Q12 circled "the pub" and "The Bury at Belmont" in above text
Q12 comment next to "part time post office", "Leominster Golf club" and "Stoke prior village hall" - wrote "Do not use"
Q12 Comment next to question "Do you agree?" - wrote "?"
Q12 commented next to question - written "N/A" next to "play area Humber Close"
Q12 written next to row "St. Marys Church Humber" - wrote "not CofE" in the "not important" column
Relocate near to school, parking must be of prime importance as well as general accessibility.
Respondent has written "(See above)"
Respondent has written "didn't know anything about it!"
Respondent has written a question mark and N/A after this question.
Respondent has written a question mark next to this question
School
School

Q12c. If 'Yes' where would be the best position for it from these suggestions or elsewhere?
School
School
School
School
School
School
School
School
School
School
School
school
School
School
School
School
School
School
School
School
School
School as then better parking options
School maybe The village was offered land 30 years ago to build new village hall next to school. This was refused - THAT was SHORT SIGHTED OF THEM
school or pub
School so most use could be got School would use during school time
School
Sell the village hall site and buy the pub and locate the village hall next to it along with a shop/post office.
Should the pub still be vacant and becoming more derelict this is the most obvious site with good parking and grounds and very central in the village. This could be developed as a Community Centre to include pub bar, village shop etc. However I believe the pub has been sold so feel that the school would be the next best option with good access and parking and using existing buildings and facilities.
Someone would have to give up their land. Why should they?
Somewhere with parking and good lighting for dark evenings.
Somewhere with parking facilities
Somewhere within walking distance of village centre or with good car parking.
Stoke Prior School
The bury
The Bury
The Bury & Belmont
The Bury & Belmont
The Bury and Belmont
The Bury and Belmont
The Bury and Belmont
The Bury and Belmont
The Bury and Belmont
The Bury and Belmont
The Bury and Belmont site may be most practical However, if a lease could be obtained from the pub for the garden area, a village hall there would have power, water, sewerage and parking potential, an would enhance the pub's business (we want it to be a success).
The Bury and Belmont, as it's a wide area and more car parking will be available.
The Bury<?> + Belmont
The church
the church
the church
The church
The church

Q12c. If 'Yes' where would be the best position for it from these suggestions or elsewhere?
The church
The Church
The church
The Church
The church
The Lamb
The Lamb in would be the best. Plenty of parking, ease of access - vital The church would be my second choice
The lamb Inn
the pub
The pub
The pub
The pub
The Pub
The pub
The Pub
The pub
The Pub - Centre of the village easily accessible parking & facilities part of cost to be offset by sale of existing plot
The pub as there is easy access, bring in more popularity for the pub
The pub is probably not available. The school is on one side of the village. Somewhere near the Bury <?> would be more central if the site provides sufficient area for the Hall and parking.
The pub or somewhere central, easily accessible (on foot or by transport) and with adequate parking/outside space
The pub site, to include post office, shop, pub and village hall.
The pub would be good
The pub would be most suitable - licenced premises, central location. Incorporate shop and post office. Sell village hall site for housing. This partly satisfies the request for a location for housing.
The Pub! Better parking and more versatile space at the pub.
The pub. In order to get the best out of both they could be co-located
The pub. Parish buys pub, builds a function room. Provides rent for room to pub, gives function to pub and villagers and makes it easier to have alcohol at a function as it would already be licensed premises. If at the school it would prove a conflict to use the school premises which children are at school. Q13 Comments next to question - crossed out "almost" in "almost never" column "public footpath or bridleways" written daily next to selection "The Lamb Inn (when open)" Circled "when open" written "Its not" next to it
The school
the school
The school
The school
The School
The school
The school
The School
The school
The school
The school
The school
The school
The school
The school
The school - perhaps it could also address the schools space issues by building a new classroom alongside
The school - the school needs a pre-school nursery to keep children at the school, otherwise they go to Bodenham or Kimbolton nurseries and stay there. The school also need a dining room and kitchen to comply with Nick Clegg's demand for school meals and there is car parking and day care and room for holding village hall events.
The school as parking and access is good
The school not at the pub

Q12c. If 'Yes' where would be the best position for it from these suggestions or elsewhere?
The school or church
The school or pub
The School or pub would be good. It would be nice to have a 'hub' so the notice board could be more easily read & the parking would be better. Also all the steps make accessibility an issue.
The school Stoke Prior.
The school village hall
The school, so that it can benefit school children when not in use. Also could double u as a pre-school/nursery, however parking needs to be "off road" or Between bury and belmont - same use as above?
The school, where additional parking could be arranged, and the school could utilise the space. This could outweigh the negative impact of the village hall being somewhat away from the village. Also, perhaps a footpath from the village to the school could be created using the old railway line.
The village hall does not own any land, so a new location is down to the economics. Between the Bury and Belmont is perfect, but you would expect this site to be prime residential land. The church offers an interesting possibility - even a joint enterprise, but unlikely that the church authorities would take a positive view. Land next to church, opposite the church, or next to Yew Tree cottage are all possibilities. Next to the school would be acceptable.
The village hall should be between the Bury & Belmont - allowing for more adequate off road parking. A possible on-site shop, picnic & play area for all - also giving easy access to the Church & pub if required. With possibilities of new houses on lower part of field - please find example attached... (scanned map) Note : if you locate the village hall at the pub - what happens when it goes bust again? As for up at the school, good for the kids but, it should be in the heart of the village.
The village hall should be in the centre of the village. How would this be financed?
ticked 'no' option and added 'because money'
To the pub. The money for the village hall sale should have been invested into The Lamb Inn
To the school
To the school or pub
Where the majority want it
Wherever and whoever can do the best job
Wherever the majority want it.
which ever seems most appropriate
Would have said more to pub, if pub owned by community, now has private individual as owner, would suggest school.

Q13. Additional community facilities and frequency of use

Q13a. Other facility 1	Frequency of use
Fabrics/clothes recycling at SP village (hall)	6 monthly
School	6 monthly
The Grove	6 monthly
The Grove	6 monthly
the 'Rag Bag' at SP village hall	6 monthly
Public telephone boxes	Almost never
Risbury chapel	Almost never
badminton court	Monthly
Grove Golf Club	Monthly
post boxes	Monthly
Recycling bins	Monthly
Risbury chapel	Monthly
The grove	Monthly
The Hickory Stick & Grove Bowling Centre	Monthly
Grove golf and bowling	Weekly
Leominster Golf Club	Weekly

Q13a. Other facility 1	Frequency of use
Post office	Weekly
Roads	Weekly
open gardens etc	Yearly
Unable to use facilities now	

Q13a. Other facility 2	Frequency of use
Leominster Golf Club	Monthly
Humber Woodland of Remembrance	Weekly
Notice board	Weekly

Q13a. Other facility 3	Frequency of use
None specified	

Section 4: Your Concerns

Q14a. Other causes of concern and the extent

Q14a. Other causes of concern 1	Extent
Grass verges by road junctions not cut so can be v. dangerous e.g. Drum crossroads.	Moderate concern
hedge cuttings left on road	Moderate concern
Horse Droppings on road	Moderate concern
Lack of maintenance of ditches / hedges	Moderate concern
Maintenance of older trees by roadside	Moderate concern
Road signs - have lost letters	Moderate concern
school run	Moderate concern
speed of traffic	Moderate concern
Traffic	Moderate concern
Bloodsports	Quite a lot of concern
Broadband speed	Quite a lot of concern
Car speeds	Quite a lot of concern
Closure of access to A417	Quite a lot of concern
condition of roads	Quite a lot of concern
condition of roads	Quite a lot of concern
Control of dogs (loose on roads)	Quite a lot of concern
Cyber crime	Quite a lot of concern
edge cutting lack of	Quite a lot of concern
Huge tractors going too fast on narrow roads and through village	Quite a lot of concern
Increase in traffic	Quite a lot of concern
Lack of post office	Quite a lot of concern
no sewerage	Quite a lot of concern
no sewerage	Quite a lot of concern
over grown hedges	Quite a lot of concern
Overgrown and weedy verges and lanes	Quite a lot of concern
Pot holes	Quite a lot of concern

Humber, Ford and Stoke Prior Neighbourhood Development Plan
Residents Survey anonymised free text comments. Version 1.0 August 2014

Q14a. Other causes of concern 1	Extent
Pot holes	Quite a lot of concern
Pot holes	Quite a lot of concern
Pot holes	Quite a lot of concern
Pot holes	Quite a lot of concern
Pot holes on/in road	Quite a lot of concern
Speeding farm traffic	Quite a lot of concern
Speeding vehicles	Quite a lot of concern
Speeding, parking at school	Quite a lot of concern
Traffic Increase	Quite a lot of concern
wildlife crime	Quite a lot of concern

Q14a. Other causes of concern 2	Extent
through traffic	Moderate concern
Closure of Wickton lane to A417	Quite a lot of concern
Dogs loose in lane	Quite a lot of concern
Excess of heavy goods vehicles/lorries	Quite a lot of concern
gas	Quite a lot of concern
gas	Quite a lot of concern
grubbing up hedges and felling trees	Quite a lot of concern
Lack of farm shop	Quite a lot of concern
Lane past school - too many cars, too little space to park, so safe pavement for kids - accident watiing to happen	Quite a lot of concern
Loose dogs (owners not confining to own property)	Quite a lot of concern
Mobile phone reception	Quite a lot of concern
Overgrown hedges	Quite a lot of concern
Pot holes	Quite a lot of concern
Potholes	Quite a lot of concern
Potholes	Quite a lot of concern
Potholes on Wilton Lane	Quite a lot of concern
Slow broadband speeds	Quite a lot of concern
Traffic speed	Quite a lot of concern
verges spreading out onto the road	Quite a lot of concern
Water pressure	Quite a lot of concern

Q14a. Other causes of concern 3	Extent
unsupervised dogs	A little concern
fences the wrong side of the hedge etc	Quite a lot of concern
Parking illegally	Quite a lot of concern
Pot holes	Quite a lot of concern
Security lights and night light pollution	Quite a lot of concern

Q14a. Other causes of concern 4	Extent
Pot holes	Quite a lot of concern
Potholes	Quite a lot of concern
the road is narrow enough let alone meeting oversized traffic on it	Quite a lot of concern
Wind turbines (large ones) not use by community	Quite a lot of concern

Q14a. Other causes of concern 5	Extent
Pot holes	Quite a lot of concern

Section 5: Our Roads, Footpaths & Transport

There are no free text questions in this section.

Section 6: Our Future Housing

Q23a. Do you have any further comments on where houses should be built?

Q23. Do you have any further comments on where houses should be built?
- Houses ought to be built where there are good roads that can take increased traffic - new homes that are built should be of good quality, and have sufficient room/living space (no shoe boxes!) have extra good insulation and be energy efficient, f.i. have heat pumps and recycle rain water to be used for wc flushing Q14 comment in "fly tipping" row - "so far have not seen any" Q20 comment in rows "flats/annexes", "bungalows", "rented accommodation" and "social housing" - "don't know" Q21 comment next to question - "if too many were built, the narrow country lanes would not cope with the increased traffic"
(Q21 - also added option and ticked: 0 new homes.) It is NOT for individuals to foist development upon landowners and their neighbours - the planning process should be directed by the LPA not by inexperienced locals. The present system works quite well.
22 & 23 I have insufficient knowledge of the area or terrain to offer any judgement
Allow development beyond the old "railway" in Stoke Prior. As village centre is already overcrowded.
Annexes or affordable homes next to residents property for their use or family
Any additional building must be sited to avoid any additional drainage/flooding issues. If green land is built on the drainage water will have to be strictly managed. I do not feel sufficiently knowledgeable of the local areas to make the necessary judgements.
any infill site or along any road
Any new houses should be in centre of village and near bus route or walkable distance to town.
Any new houses should be integrated into the existing village developments. where there are existing services and should not be located on green field sites
Any new housing should be built with regard to correct planning application and approval. Each development should be assessed on merit and needs arising
Anywhere they fit in. Why restrict it to certain places and larger developments.
appropriate infill
As above - no new houses required anywhere.
As I said as <having expertise> no more houses or bungalows should be built until we have the basics ie sewerage, gas, shop, post office, drainage, just remember Risbury is a 'hamlet'
As infill where existing groups of houses are already, so as not to spoil what we have in the way of our countryside.
At the bottom of Poplands Lane
Away from Stoke Prior and Ford areas.
Biggest concern any new development takes into account flooding and drainage issues.
Black smiths lane would be a great place for new houses. Not "blocks" but individual houses to follow the current layout! Also from the bottom of the village down towards Milpitch would be a good place. Between Pentwyn & ORchard Cottage wouldnt be a good place as its where the narrowist part of the road is. Also the drainage is very poor so too many houses would make it worse. Houses on Blacksmiths Lane wouldn't affect anyone! Suitable housing would be by Stoke Prior school, good for the school and also the road is suitable for more cars.

Q23. Do you have any further comments on where houses should be built?
Blacksmith Lane would be perfect or from "The Hope Pole" to "Turning Ways" both areas wouldn't really affect any current residents. Houses from Orchard Cottage to Penthyn<?> wouldn't be suitable, the road is the narrowist in the village and the field drainage is terrible, Ideal housing would be in the surrounding fields at Stoke Prior School (by the Drum). The road is suitable for more traffic. Q20 & Q24 comments next to question - written "locals" next to "affordable (low cost) homes" written "NO" in "social housing" row
Careful consideration needs to be given to how much extra traffic our little lanes can accommodate - most are narrow & in a poor state of repair & used heavily by tractors & horses etc. Add to that a limited bus service & no local shops etc, it means that anyone moving to the area will have to be able to fund running a car, resulting in extra traffic. Is rural housing really the answer?
Consideration should be made on the impact of each house around and all views counted. Drainage is a big problem in a lot of areas.
Depends upon quality and type of build. Poor quality housing and social housing further away.
Do not want to see new housing
Don't have anywhere specific
Don't have anywhere specific
Don't think new build is required - existing buildings could be converted.
Easy access to A49 from Ford
Everywhere they are needed
Extra houses may be built at the Councils discretion where a farmer wanting to retire 'therefore' build on his own land
Few individuals have sufficient detailed knowledge to make sensible suggestions.
Flexibility should be retained to put the occasional house/bungalow in the more outlying areas like Marston, Poplands, Ford, Wickton etc.
Folks work hard to eventually 'move' out to somewhere quiet in the countryside, it's about climbing up the ladder..... and being successful in one's life goals our 'first' house was in town now peace and quiet not being overlooked - that's what it's all about.
Have not marked the map because I was unable to see it. Sorry! Kia Ora is one space where houses could be built
HEREFORD!!! People work hard to eventually "move out" to the country; so it defeats the object of 'affordable housing' in the country!! MAKES PEOPLE HAVE A GOAL IN LIFE!
Houses at Ford will be easily accessible from A49 whereas any development in Stoke Prior & Risbury will bring more traffic and road hazards
Houses could be placed unobtrusively, dotted about the parish rather than all in one place or as infill
Houses should be detached with gardens/land and employment potential rather than clustered in estates in order to preserve rural character of parish
Houses should have space around them and be dotted throughout the Parish rather than a large concentration of houses in one specific area.
Houses should 'infill' rather than wasting good agricultural land on new developments.
Houses should not be built in places with poor access. Infill developments of no more than two - three properties should be considered.
Houses should not be in estates - should be in 1's or 2's with reasonable garden size, and ensuring that there is no great increase in traffic on the narrow roads. Rural character of the parish needs to be maintained, with retention of open fields and woodland. Q17 comment in row "hedges" - "cut at wrong time - bad for wildlife"
Houses to be built nearer Ford with easy access to A49 and keep Ford church going.
Housing should be ribbon development/infill between existing wherever possible. Annexes/barn conversions should also be preferred to use/maintain existing buildings to the maximum. Brownfield should be preferred over greenfield.
Housing should be spread evenly throughout the parish
I am strongly against building new homes within the villages of Stoke Prior, Risbury & Steens Bridge. Any new homes should be built closer to the town. If the number of homes is increased within our villages, eventually they will no longer be 'villages'. Why do people move from towns to villages in the first place?
I do not feel qualified to answer question 23
I do not see the need for further development in Stoke Prior
I don't know where the designated areas are so I haven't answered this
I don't think that the houses should be built in Steensbridge and local areas it would ruin the lovely view/scenery and homes of animals.
I don't think there should be any more houses built, let the countryside stay as countryside.
I don't understand why Humber & Ford are not included
I don't want any extra traffic, noise or children, new homes, neighbours anywhere within 10 miles of Stoke Prior.

Q23. Do you have any further comments on where houses should be built?
I feel any more houses built in our parish could not be supported by the infrastructure ie sewage, no shop, one bus (may be cut). Our narrow village roads.
I feel single sites rather than multiple dwellings will have the least impact on the Group Parish. I have therefore not marked location(s) on map.
I find it difficult to pro-actively suggest where houses should be built but feel I would happily engage in a constructive dialogue once any proposal is put forward. Otherwise I anticipate the most likely response to be "Not in my back yard" from most people which doesn't really help.
I have objection to the odd house being built outside these three areas.
I think new houses should be built in Stoke Prior & Steen's Bridge but I do not know the villages well enough to say exactly where. I have marked H in Risbury where I think perhaps 5 or 6 houses could be built
I think villages should stay as villages and not become over developed. In-fill could be acceptable but extending village boundaries is a question.
If new houses are to be built, then they should be offered to locals first.
If possible houses should be built within the villages rather than in open-field sites. Ribbon development in Risbury has probably gone far enough already. Marston has very narrow road access and probably could not cope with much more development - perhaps limited building for the needs of the current or future occupiers. The need for road development if houses are built out of village centres or away from main roads does need to be taken into consideration.
I'm not sure if I believe that housing should be in developments or grouped in a particular area, small 1 or 2 building developments, yes, or conversions yes but if it was 6 or 7 or 20 or 30 new houses/households the new infrastructure, particularly road access would be a major factor. The size of the development would be key to knowing where to most sensibly place it i.e. cars/sewage/drainage and our village roads are narrow etc. etc. etc.
In Leominster, Bromyard
In order to stop overcrowding in the village - new properties/developments should be allowed beyond the old railway embankment!
In-fill & some brown/green field. In keeping and sustainable houses.
Infill between existing properties but not high density
Infill is the best as it does not eat into fields
In-fill only
Infill only along existing roads. Cul-de-sacs are acceptable if off existing roads - eg like the development next to SP village hall - or the modern houses next to the Lamb. The bottom of Normans's Lane alongside the Bryn to the gate into the field could be made up to highway standard enabling properties (say 3) up to the village Hall (see A) No more sites should be built off bridleways (in the new Core Strategy, will Gypsy/Travellers sites still be permitted where other developments are not?)
Infill or off existing roads. Where least disruption to village and to neighbours.
Infill sites along village road
Infill within existing settlement boundaries with direct access from each plot onto existing network of roads and lanes
Keep in line with the existing houses I feel is important & extending the the village towards Pencombe Q14 Commented "SLOW DOWN!" in "Quite a lot of concern" column for " Horses on the roads" row
Keep the volume of new houses low. Don't build where there's flooding. No street lights!! Develop redundant farm buildings with small social housing / low cost housing e.g. at <named> Farm which has been an eyesore for 14 years.
Kept as close as reasonably possible to the centre of each village so that they feel part of the village not a separate hamlet in their own right.
Majority of new housing should be planned for inside the two villages, to stop extensive sprawl or excessive ribbon development. However a limited number of small houses could be permitted outside, where a demonstrated need (e.g. annexe for elderly parents, or agricultural workers) exists, and these are not extensive developments.
Map not big enough to be able to identify areas. Sorry.
Marston
Maximum of 5 - 6 houses in one place
More houses in Stoke Prior or Risbury will cause too much traffic on narrow dangerous lanes.
more infills should be encouraged
Most new homes should be in or around the villages but there should be allowance for the exceptional dwellings further afield
NA Q17 comments next to question - terrible almost non existent
Near good roads with public transport.

Q23. Do you have any further comments on where houses should be built?
Near Stoke Prior school
Near your place of work
New houses not needed Commented above question "No"
New houses should be (generally) concentrated within existing urbanised areas
No
No
No
No
No
No 'estates' - odd infill and perhaps group of 2-4 homes such as houses by S.P. village hall.
No large development - e.g not too many are infill sites i.e. between Risbury X and Schoolhouse.
No mains sewage - no more new homes - narrow roads.
no more houses need to be built in the village
No more than single houses on Poplands Lane and not many
No new houses
no opinion
No preference
None
None Q21 comment next to question - "none" Q22 comment next to question - "build none"
Not in Stoke Prior
Not on a flood plain. Not if there is no infrastructure - schools/ shops/ buses/ doctors/ etc.
Nowhere thank you
On sites that won't impinge on existing properties too much. ie to not ruin existing outlooks from people's property.
Only confine to just those 3 areas
Planning will decide but if done by Hfd Council - God help us - look at the Old Market site expec. from the street.!
Prefer houses "dotted" around the parish rather than all clumped together. This has less impact on the villages. It will keep the feel of space.
Prefer no houses anywhere but accept people have to have somewhere to live That explains answers in 20 to demonstrate no bigotry
Q13 comment next to "A local bus" - wrote "daily" Q15 comment next to "broadband speeds" - wrote N/A
Q13 comment next to ticks in "A local bus" and "Public bench seating" - "yet"
Q14 comment next to "horses on roads" row - written "on roads before cars - slow down speed kills"
Q14 Comment next to question - Commented "Why are horses on the roads a concern? They have every LEGAL RIGHT to be there. More of a concern are those drivers who regularly FLOUT speed restrictions!"
Q14 comment next to question - written "its nice!" next to tick in "horses on the roads" row - added "/bridle paths" at end of "conditions of footpaths"
Q15 comment next to question - "(very poor no reception/service at all)"
Q15 comment next to question - "broadband speeds" row commented "awful" "mobile phone reception" row commented "very poor"
Q15 comment next to question - written "terrible" next to "mobile phone reception"
Q15 comment next to question - written "very poor" next to broadband speed
Q16 comment in row "footpath stiles..." - "or just keeping maintained"
Q16 comment next to question - commented " not needed if the roadsides were maintained properly" next to "The number and position of passing places on our roads"
Q16 Comment next to question - next to "Traffic calming measures" written "No! No! No!"
Respondent has written "none" for Q21. Has written "Why should they give up their land" against Q22 How can villages with no amenities sustain these extra houses that are proposed Narrow roads. No mains sewage, Unkept roads - potholes would become impossibly worse.
Ribbon' development only, along existing highways, (i.e. no 'estates').
Risbury and Stoke Prior both suffer from in-fill development. This created a confused and ugly juxtaposition of styles and loses the charm of a village. Building on the edges or more properties along Bowley Lane would be more preferable if done sympathetically.
Should adjoin existing housing, not in 'green fields' or isolated/undeveloped locations. I would suggest a small 'estate' of a few houses rather than them being scattered across the countryside (just saw Q26 - already answered!)

Q23. Do you have any further comments on where houses should be built?
Should be built on main roads and bus link service
Small group 3 or 4 all with gardens
small hamlets spread through parish is preferable to enlargement of existing villages
Small villages & 'settlements' or 'hamlets' should remain just that - small. New builds should echo this sentiment & be spread throughout rural areas in order to retain the space & openness of our precious countryside & rural areas
Sorry - the print on the map too small for me to read but infill housing rather than ribbon-development
The existing roads/lanes are already congested - so build homes with main road access.
The location of any new houses should be supported by services which all need to be improved before any building, especially doctors surgery - hard enough to get appt now
The roads into Stoke Prior are already unsuitable for the amount of traffic. If more houses are built this will put extra pressure on an already inadequate road network. Consideration should be given to building new houses where suitable services are already in place. Single track roads in agricultural location are not suited to heavy traffic! Q14 Comment next to question - * "horses on the roads" commented below "Why is this listed as a negative!! It is a legal activity, that is traditional and part of rural life"
There are enough houses in Humber Close.
There are often occasions i.e. Farmers sons who wish to convert redundant buildings or retirement homes, but are refused due to restrictive and unhelpful planning policy.
There are some redundant buildings in our area and they should be looked at for conversion as well as looking for new land.
There should be no further new homes in Stoke Prior as the inadequate mains drainage is not suitable.
To add-on next to existing housing with services already set-up rather than greenfield sites if poss.
To keep families in the village you have to build new houses in areas that are practical and necessary to allow villages to thrive for the future
Try to 'infill' between houses/buildings already present as much as possible.
up by the school
We do not have the infrastructure for more development - no mains sewage - narrow roads - sometimes low water pressure - poor road surfaces - look at the chaos at Stoke school at 8:30 am and 3:00pm.
Whatever and wherever new houses are built, they should be in small numbers (max 3) in any given site and in keeping with the local area.
Where "infill" opportunities exist on to existing roadways development is ok. However expounding the boundaries of villages laterally or longitudinally is wrong. Further developments should be kept as close to towns as possible where existing road infrastructure and services are adequate.

Q25a. Any further comments on possible protected land areas:

Q25a. Any further comments on possible protected land areas:
All
All current open spaces should be left undeveloped
All existing wooded areas must be kept
All wooded areas & traditionally farmed agricultural land (orchards/livestock/arable) must be protected.
Any area of natural beauty and wildlife
Any areas with footpaths running through should be protected. Protect nature sites (otters are in the Lugg). Plant more trees / protect woodland. I would like a nature / picnic area between the green burial ground and Humber Brook.
As per pervious comments - develop near existing developments, near to decent roads/buses.
avoid green field sites
Beware of NIMBY'S! If the building (whatever) is justified it SHOULD happen. If Risbury, for example, needed more lowcost homes, why should they be built elsewhere?
Care should be taken to minimise the environmental impact of development. Developers should be obligated to include protected land in their developments & enhance the natural environment.
Do not allow contractors who are in it just for the money to spoil things by poor quality builds.
Do not know the area well enough to make a decision on this one.
Each application taken on its own merits eg old barns may be suitable for development in rural areas but new buildings inappropriate
Every community needs some green space left alone.

Q25a. Any further comments on possible protected land areas:
Farm buildings should be converted to workspaces rather than housing to help provide local employment.
Farmland, at a significant distance from existing development.
Flood plains Woodlands orchards
Green spaces everywhere around the villages
green spaces should all be protected
Higher areas, not overlooking existing properties. This should also apply to caravans & mobile homes.
Horse sanctuary Stoke Prior
Houses should NOT be added to areas where the road access is already narrow or difficult.
Housing should be in the villages or towns, not open countryside, in most cases.
Housing should not even be considered in areas where particularly beautiful views will be spoilt
Humber Woodland of Remembrance; Risbury Camp; Any buildings should be close to existing homes + businesses, as far as possible, rather than in open green spaces, which should be preserved
I agree with the conversion and/or upgrading of any redundant buildings to bring these properties back into service - as either freehold, leasehold or rental properties. Perhaps we require to survey what "redundant" properties exist in the parish.
I am firmly against the use of green spaces as housing development.
I do not feel qualified to answer question 25 fully
I don't think the rural centres of any of these areas should be used for building
I hate seeing green fields built on. Try & find brown field sited Hard in a rural area. Ban holiday homes
I particularly disapprove of any houses built on land near to the Trug and/or belonging to New Hall Farm as this would increase flooding in the surrounding area and destroy forever beautiful pasture land and its wildlife
I strongly think that houses should be mainly built where existing properties already exist - infill and also on the edges of villages and groups of existing houses. Or, to improve or rebuild barns or derelict properties
I thought we had GREEN BELT. So not sure why the questionnaire.
I would not like houses built on existing footpaths
It would be nice to see a small village green in Stoke Prior &/on Risbury
Its all farm land that would need to be built on so why call it "green spaces"
I've no idea!
Land outside the existing settlement boundaries should be protected
Meadows and orchards should be preserved. Building should be kept to a minimum to protect present villagers from flooding and traffic problems. Once pasture and meadows are built on, they are lost forever.
Need to protect ancient orchards and 'buffer' green fields around settlements.
No building should be allowed on grade A/B farmland
No development at Humber, Marston or Wickton
No development on open farmland
No extension to boundaries Infrastructure unsuited to further development
No green field development should be allowed until ALL brown field have been examined
No more building or caravan sites on the hill slopes overlooking the village. (the last was the gypsy site)
no opinion
No specific comment Q14 comment next to question - bracketed first 6 rows, written "These are major concerns to peaceful lifestyle - however fortunately the area is fairly free of these worries - and hopefully will continue to be so"
No village greens to protect
Not been here long enough to have an informed view.
Not Marston due to poor road access & more traffic
Once again I think all areas above would have areas in them if built on would alter the 'sense of place' that that community regards as important, but to cover all the areas above, seeing how (without knowing the size of the development) this development would affect its surroundings (i.e. on top of Risbury fort!?) or looking down on Hampton Court around the back of Priory church!! So without size of development or possible positioning its very hard to say.
Predominantly farming - why spoil this.
Protect waterside habitats eg. along the Lugg, Humber brook, etc. to encourage the diversity of wildlife and to prevent pollution of the natural waters.
Q24 comment next to "affordable (low cost) homes" - wrote "for locals"
Q24 comment next to "conversion of redundant farm buildings" - written "only for low cost housing"

Q25a. Any further comments on possible protected land areas:
Q24 Comment next to question - commented "for children of people already in the parish" next to "Affordable (low cost) homes
Q25 comment next to question - "no"
Q25 commented next to question - "No idea"
Rare animals/ birds sighted in these areas - bats/owls/great crested newts/ rare 'chafer' beetles.
refer Q23 Drainage/flood paramount concern
Roads narrow - un-kept verges. Extra traffic would be impossible
See the NIMBY comment of answer 23...
Single individual dwellings "popped in" amongst existing houses
Social housing needs to be supported by local amenities and our rural location does not have a shop or regular enough transport to help them.
Sorry, I have not enough knowledge and can't comment on above question
Stoke Prior is a beautiful village. Please don't spoil it by building lots of new houses on green field sites. we need the existing farmers to keep producing food for the ever growing population!
The Lamb Inn
The open fields of Marston are outstanding beautiful and enjoyed by many walkers. The orchards round Risbury and Stoke Prior are also significant.
The SSSI between Hampton Court and Risbury Hill and Risbury Camp.
There are no green spaces in this parish to my knowledge
Think all areas should be protected.
This can't be answered until question 23 has been implemented
This is beautiful green land why mar this by building more homes.
To protect the rural nature you shouldn't build anywhere but accept that some building will have to happen so population increases. See previous note.
Unsure
Until the flooding of the road between Belmont and the church has been sorted, which is caused through water draining from the hillside, planning should be declined. The 'tank' servicing The Bury endures enough problems as it is!
Where there is a risk of flooding
Woodland; Areas liable to flood; Agricultural land; prominent sites;
Would be nice to have a village green within each village. Maybe built into future planning permission for houses within the village.
You have not adequately defined 'green space' which is effectively 98% of the area.

Q26a. If 'Yes' what would be the ideal number of houses in a small estate?

Q26a. If 'Yes' what would be the ideal number of houses in a small estate?
0
0
0
0
1
2
3
4
4
4
4
4
4
5
5
5
5

Q26a. If 'Yes' what would be the ideal number of houses in a small estate?
3 - 4
4 - 5
4 - 5
4 - 5
4 - 6
5 - 6
6 - 7
5 - 8
5 - 8
6 - 8
6 - 8
6 - 8
6 - 8
1 - 10
5 - 10
5 - 10
5 - 10
5 - 10
5 - 10
5 - 10
6 - 10
8 - 10
.?
?
?
10 (or less)
5 max
8 - 10 or less
8 max
In my opinion its difficult to make estates blend in with the rest of the area. Would prefer to see ad-hoc infill development.

Q26a. Any further comments on estates:

Q26a. Any further comments on estates:
5 - 8 would be ideal
A housing estate is completely at odds with what constitutes living in the countryside. Grouped housing would look totally out of character.
A small estate of 10 or so houses on land between "the <?>" and "the Hollies" (opposite 'old Hall') would be desirable. Access is good. Some reasonably prices homes for families and first time buyers are needed.
Again, NIMBY-ism would probably distort this answer! show me the proposal & I can comment
Already enough large houses in estate form in Stoke Prior. Don't want the same in Risbury!
An estate gives a suburban fell to a quintessentially rural area. Estate builders ususally set utility and profit before maintaining local ambience.
Any development of estates either small or large will alter the village considerably. Also we already have a lot of problems with drainage and flooding - this would further exacerbate the problem
Anywhere in the group parish that can be agreed on and is subtle and in keeping within the area that is agreed.
Anywhere where support services can cope or be built too
As already indicated.
As per comment in Q23 these must be kept away from the existing villages. In particular Stoke Prior, which is already the largest in the parish and should not be increased in size.
As suggested within need for local housing
Barn conversions would be a more sympathetic option than a estate in this small rural area

Q26a. Any further comments on estates:
Between Risbury Cross and the school
Build around Leominster
build away from existing villages - consider areas to North East of parish with access from A44.
Can this country keep supporting the influx of immigrants - the need for more homes would lessen if we banned people arriving here.
Confine development to a brownfield site within the village boundary.
Confined to brownfield site within village boundaries
Depending on the type of housing/socio-economic group they're aimed at, locate them at Steensbridge (social housing), or in Stoke Prior or Risbury (families, couples, professionals)
Development should be restricted to infill with direct access onto existing network of roads and lanes in the existing settlements boundaries No estates please (no main sewer)
Done well, estates are just houses! It depends on the plot size as to how many houses, just make sure they have gardens/ plenty of space in between.
Estates are not in keeping with the village
Estates aren't right for the village. Too crammed in and not intergrated
Estates greater than 8 are more likely to lead to separate communities developing instead of actively engaging with the rest of the village.
Estates should be built around towns ie. Leominster
Estates should be small (max 7) and not overwhelm the village
Estates would not be appropriate in the village locations of the group parish
Every new dwelling have a garden, however small Bring variety within each group no developers "identities"
Generally prefer smaller developments of 2 - 4 houses. Good sympathetic RURAL DESIGN style is important - not anywhere-ville town houses.
Houses need to be empathetic in style to a rural village location, to preserve the attractiveness of this rural location Has added comment against yes/no option 'not appropriate to a village'
Houses should be built to Parker-Morris minimum standards. Estate houses should have gardens.? communal play areas. Maximum no of dwellings on an estate should not exceed 5
Houses should be mixed use: starter/family/retirement. They should have gardens and central/communal green spaces to encourage a feeling of community. There should be scope for spaces to enable people to work from home or near home.
Houses should have a cottage feel and not be mass produced - so as not to turn Stoke Prior & other villages into suburbia.
Housing estates are a suburban or urban concept. They belong in towns. Rural areas should always remain a diverse collection of single dwellings of diverse architecture.
However, if the right site was available in one of the villages perhaps? it would be possible without destroying the look of the area.
I believe a small 'cul-de-sac' type of estate would be, in itself, a community; but with the right design, also attractive and convenient for residents. Neighbourly for elderly or young.
I think that the 'type' of housing built should be in keeping with the 'village'. By this I mean - oak framed/stone etc not modern cheap looking vile properties
If are to be built, firm limitations and thorough planning should be considered
If you want one, go and live in town
In my opinion 'estates' if built outside the hub of the community tend to integrate poorly with the rest of the community - they become an isolated island so if they have to be built then they need to be attached to the body of the village i.e. within its curtilage.
Intended for local populace or workers nearby - NOT any kind of overspill housing.
It will look out of place in the countryside
It would be impossible to widen roads, where would extra traffic go - the council does not properly maintain existing roads.
It would depend on the type of houses planned Indicated "yes" to Q26 with ? Rather than tick Q20 commented next to question - "NA" on 3 options
Its a village
Keep all new housing together not scattered in open country.
Keep as a small village!
Keep them small
Larger estates would not so easily become part of the village community
Max 5 houses in one place
Need to be small to prevent "estate" feel.

Q26a. Any further comments on estates:
No cheap "Mcdonalds" houses that go mouldy quickly.
No estates! Would ruin the character of the villages.
No preference
No street lights
No two houses the same design, only similar and all reflecting the character of the area.
No two houses the same design, only similar and all reflecting the character of the area.
Not bothered
Not for me to say where they might be built Q26 comment next to question "possibly"
Not known sorry!
Not suitable for Stoke Prior
not sure?
Not wanted in Stoke Prior
One's enough
Perhaps in Stoke Prior but not sure exactly where. Kia-Ora in Risbury has enough land to build 3-4 houses
Please keep volume of houses low. Allow adequate parking for residents and visitors, and adequate gardens. Combine with traffic calming measures. Please no street lights!
Should be a mix of standard and low cost homes. Social housing always has inherited problems, mainly due to poor transport & facilities - ie. <example area nearby>. Young mums feel isolated and lonely if not able to walk to facilities!
Somewhere rural with a pub and shop within walking distance.
Sorry, don't know, except perhaps around Steensbridge, where there is already a similar development and it has a good road access - but on the whole I am not keen on estates
Supporting infrastructure is vital - need to address: we already receive many powercuts poor broadband speeds Lack of community facilities - shop/clinics poor maintenance of ditches/gritting in snow etc.
The houses should be different from one another & vary the number of bedrooms
The housing could be some affordable homes for people from the village. These starter homes should have a covenant attached that the house cannot be extended for 100 years. This way if the family wanted more space they moved to a larger house and the home would come available for another village family starter home.
The smaller villages should have individual houses or small groups of 2-5 rather than estates.
The style should be in keeping and not too modern
There are already too many 'Brookside' developments in the parish
There are so many areas for 1/2 houses. Why spoil a beautiful area with houses that give little or no thought to their surroundings
They don't need to be huge but maybe 2 and 3 bed homes which are affordable to those on low income.
Unless up to 10 properties were built, infrastructure cost would render the properties uneconomic.
Unsure
We do not need any estates
Where access & services are easily available (A44/A49)
Where drainage and facilities will support without causing disruption and flooding to other properties in the area
Where drainage and facilities will support without causing disruption and flooding to other properties in the area
Where needed
Where new estates are built, consideration for parking, affects on water run off should be included.
Where would the sewage go - water supply would suffer and broadband would be slower.
Would prefer infill - one or two houses Spread through the village rather than any estates

Q27a. Other land uses and support / opposition

Q27a. Other land uses 1	Support / opposition
old people's club	Moderately support
Organic farming	Moderately support
Play area for kids	Moderately support
small holdings	Moderately support
Small holdings	Moderately support
Any sport that creates noise e.g. grasstrack / go karting	Strongly oppose

Humber, Ford and Stoke Prior Neighbourhood Development Plan
Residents Survey anonymised free text comments. Version 1.0 August 2014

Q27a. Other land uses 1	Support / opposition
gypsy camp	Strongly oppose
Kennels	Strongly oppose
More car rallying	Strongly oppose
Motorized sport/activities	Strongly oppose
street lighting	Strongly oppose
Wind farms	Strongly oppose
wind turbine	Strongly oppose
A Community 'green space' either for seating, nature watching, meadow with paths ... somewhere that is everybody's to enjoy, a focal point	Strongly support
Community recreation field	Strongly support
farm shop	Strongly support
Improved network of footpaths/bridleways - permissive possibly	Strongly support
Linked bridleways to give safe places for horse-riders	Strongly support
Nature reserve	Strongly support
Nature reserves	Strongly support
Play are in stoke prior	Strongly support
play area	Strongly support
recreational green space	Strongly support
shop(s)	Strongly support
traditional crafts	Strongly support
Traditional/rural crafts, art studies	Strongly support
Village green/play area	Strongly support
village hall/shop & play area combo	Strongly support
wind turbines for energy for the whole community	Strongly support
Woodland of remembrance use	Strongly support

Q27a. Other land uses 2	Support / opposition
all commercial farming	Strongly oppose
Green spaces/gardens accessible to those with disabilities	Strongly support
Nature area Humber brook	Strongly support
Organic farming	Strongly support
pub	Strongly support
tennis court	Strongly support
use of existing facilities eg schools or village halls for sport/ recreation	Strongly support
Wildlife management areas	Strongly support
Poultry sheds	

Q27a. Other land uses 3	Support / opposition
agribusiness	Strongly oppose
Mobile phone masts	Strongly oppose
More public access to countryside	Strongly support
SSSI support	Strongly support
Pig sheds	

Q27a. Other land uses 4	Support / opposition
deforestation	Strongly oppose
Historic/iron age/roman/geological	Strongly support
intense cattle	

Q27a. Other land uses 5	Support / opposition
4 x 4 off road driving	Strongly oppose

Section 7: Our Businesses

Q28a. Other area of employment that should be encouraged or discouraged

Q27a. Other areas of employment 1	Encourage / discourage
Road system inadequate for any increase in traffic	Discourage
A tearoom? popular with local and tourists	Encourage
Coffee mornings	Encourage
Consider use of existing facilities for craft workshops or community services etc	Encourage
Faster broadband	Encourage
homemaking	Encourage
Post officer	Encourage
shop	Encourage
Tourism other than B&B & camping	Encourage
Working from home	Encourage

Q27a. Other areas of employment 2	Encourage / discourage
no need to build new facilities	Discourage
Encourage home working	Encourage
Farm shop	Encourage
Local talent showcased in one spot	Encourage

Q27a. Other areas of employment 3	Encourage / discourage
A small village stores?	Encourage

Q27a. Other areas of employment 4	Encourage / discourage
None specified	

Q34. Do you think there are ways residents and/or the Parish Council could help you expand your business (e.g. use of the web site)?
possibly
Possibly (use of website). As far as Hfd council are concerned all they want to do is to stop any business
Possibly in future
Q27 comment next to "offices" - "(if traditional buildings are used)"
Q27 comments next to question "we camp and caravan but object due it road system. Caravans on these roads! No. Ditto to the other oppositions. Q28 - have crossed out "offices" where question states "offices and small businesses" Q29 - comment next to question "NOT TOO MUCH"
Q33 comment next to question - "not a relevant question for a charity"
Support British produce
Support my plans to expand my farmyard <named>
Support planning of new holiday lets
Use local services - support local enterprise
We would like better and faster broadband and better mobile phone reception. We would like a better maintained road up Wickton lane, less mud on the road. Our cars are permanently filthy.
Web-site advertising on parish site
Yes - by supporting local business rather than going to outside contractors
Yes - Use your local <specific trade> :)

Section 8: Our Environment

There are no free text question in the environment section

Q40. Do you have any subjects or issues you feel the NDP should tackle that are not addressed above?

Q40. Do you have any subjects or issues you feel the NDP should tackle that are not addressed above?
- preservation & protection of historic site 'the mound Risbury' - farmers not to sell off local orchards privately but give first option to community purchase, for preservation of historic orchards
- Speed restrictions - 40mph max on all country roads - better maintenance footpaths/bridleways
1. The continued existence of bloodsports in a (supposedly) civilised society. The encouraging of children to join in such behaviour. A strong local contingent of those who engage in such behaviour, excusing it as 'sport'. Inexcusable cruelty. 2. With the best of intentions, the parish council, and some supporters, are driving this process forward, putting a positive 'spin' on it and in some ways creating the illusion that it has a broader base of support than it actually has. There is a thin line between democracy and compulsory involvement. At the end of this process lies the possibility of increased funds for local 'projects'. The ever present danger is that some of the ideas of 'how to spend the money' have the capacity to change the look and 'feel' of the parish, overtly, spoiling the rural nature of our surroundings. It seems likely also that some individual homes could be quite significantly affected, against the will of the residents concerned: possibly a very upsetting situation. I believe the parish council should tread softly in this respect, and only proceed with any significant change after obtaining the agreement of ALL those affected. Always bearing in mind that what is an insignificant change to the PC, may be profoundly disturbing to one or more residents. 3. Finally, please beware the assumption that we would all appreciate being better off, safer, or having 'improved' facilities; - being spoon-fed with kissing gates, street lighting, speed limits and endless signage, or being more technologically advanced is NOT what we seek. Peace and quiet without interference...now that I'd vote for.
A community led project such as relocating Stoke village hall to the school would be good. It should be an asset for all
A park in Risbury for children A pub in Risbury Faster broadband
A pleasant thing to tackleas a resident of <named property>. I would (in a perfect world) like to see the management of the SSSI - UNDERSTOOD as just leaving it is not the best way to manage special sites of scientific interest. They do need gentle management and landscape wise (glacial breakthrough/<unreadable>/Holywells (holywell, (hellodingle?)/hellhole/waterfalls and weirs and roman bridges/parish boundary stones/ etc.etc. form a very important link to our distant past - and therefore make the parish a fascinating ancient place, and I believe a potential, individual asset of the parish - riverside erosion, tree management, hedge etc
A. We should support "micro-generation" of power using solar and wind power (i.e. locally based). B Cyber security training should be supported to reduce cyber-crime. C We should support research into THORIUM BASED NUCLEAR reactors as a substitute for current nuclear reactors. There are much greater safety benefits and as an element is readily available world wide. SEE liquid fluoride thorium reactors.
Acrid smelling bonfires; Damage to bridleways etc by heavy vehicles.
All new build should have solar & biomass units.
Allow housing to be space not overcrowded & on top of each other - That's a town! - Not a village of countryside
Any housing built should be inkeeping with surrounding properties and should certainly not be allowed if it looks like the house at Little Cowarne, blot on the landscape!!
Anyone who is on the NDP should have to make their intentions clear - it was more than obvious that they are getting friend and family to put flags in their land, they should not be able to make money this way and disregard neighbours, land and countryside.
As a responsible dog walker (dog under control / on a lead) and cyclist I am constantly harassed by dogs not under control, kept behind gates. I was recently attacked by a dog which caused me to come off my bike and my dog has been attacked whilst on a lead. Keep dogs on your property! And no street lights!
Ban the use of the word 'unsustainable' when referring to property - it is meaningless and offensive.
BROADBAND SPEED!!!
Continuous burning of horse manure which creates an unpleasant atmosphere and creates unpleasant and invasive smells.
Continuous burning of horse manure which creates unpleasant and intrusive smells

Q40. Do you have any subjects or issues you feel the NDP should tackle that are not addressed above?
Could do with some litter bins. Looking after footpaths which in some cases have been dug up. In other cases the grass has not been cut. Also, why are horse owners allowed to put up tapes instead of fences near bridleways. Dogs have to be controlled on the owners property and fenced in, why not horses? Its not only barking dogs that are a nuisance but dogs that are not controlled and make it difficult to pass some properties.
Could the pub be used partly as a community centre, eg. with small shop and post office located there? Perhaps a games room for young people too?
Could we encourage people not to use noisy garden machinery after, say 2pm on Sundays and Bank holidays in June, July and August? Damage caused to bridleways by heavy vehicles. Smelly bonfires.
Dangerous verge erosion at old railway bridge on Ford Lane. Dead trees and old unstable verges create regular danger to motorists as they fall into road. Also brickwork is collapsing a potentially risky accident area for drivers.
Domestic natural gas laid to Stoke Prior.
Don't allow people on the parish council until they have lived in the area for at least 5 years. Especially people from cities. Who know nothing of country life.
Drainage in heavy clay soil is difficult, therefore this must be taken into account before any building of new homes or conversion of barns etc takes place
Ensuring a regular bus service continues to Leominster at least once a week
Generation of power by use of water is not suggested, but seems to work in some places.
How can these small communities support - Sewage - traffic - upkeep of roads - where does our Council Tax get spent certainly not locally. *We have to raise money for the school*
Hydroelectricity should be considered. We have rivers and streams running all though our parish + Points - not a blot on the landscape, no carbon emissions work day and night wind or no wind. 24/7 days a year. - Points - initial installation more costly than wind or solar power.
I am concerned following the community meetings, where residents were asked to identify possible land for development. It was clear that some land-owners were promoting the use of their land for personal gain, with no thought for neighbouring properties or the countryside, or community. Further, and this should not be allowed - members of the NDP committee/parish council making decisions are amongst these land-owners. I now wish that I had not supported a 'local-plan', but left the decisions to the Local Authority i.e. HCC.
I am unable to take part in any activity which uses the village hall as I cannot access it or park a car at it. Re-siting a community hall at the pub site or in the church, or behind it (St. Luke's), would be good for me!
I feel that we have the wonderful <named facility> in the middle of the village and it is far too precious and exclusive and it would be much better for the charity and the village for more contact through open days etc. I have spoken to <named person> on this subject.
I feel the survey is a total waste of money. Democratic window dressing. Being a bit of an airhead with time on my hands I've filled it in.
I strongly believe that the main road next to Humber Close should be slowed down to 30mph for several reasons including safety. And going in and out of the estate and the road to the estate and to the school. I also believe the estate and around the school should be slowed down to 10/15mph as children are playing both places. I believe instead of an infrequent speed van that cannot measure the speed of motorbikes we should have a static camera with the ability to take pictures of motorbikes. People even overtake dangerously when the children are waiting for the bus at the bus stop outside Humber Close estate.
I would like to believe that those who are against development of houses are listened to as much as those in favour.
I would strongly support much improved local bus service, especially Stoke Prior to Leominster
Improvement of village halls kitchen & cloakrooms
Improving broadband speeds essential for future of Parish /quality of life.
Local people should be allowed to build houses for their own use on their own land & "tie" the houses to the land so it cant be sold repeatedly. Encourage families to stay in villages. Green/eco houses preferred
Loose dog(s) raiding black refuse bags on a Friday morning
Mains gas to Stoke Prior?
More emphasis on local people being able to build on their own land to enable them to live/remain within the community & village!!!
More facilities and opportunities available for the youth and families.
More opportunities and facilities for youth and young families to participate
More street lights - (could be solar power)
Naming of un-named roads to help visitors and delivery drivers.
No fracking - protect us!
No street lamps or pavements
None
not enough knowledge on parish councils to do this (arrow pointing to Q39, biomass option)

Q40. Do you have any subjects or issues you feel the NDP should tackle that are not addressed above?
Parish council was offered land to re-locate Stoke Prior village hall, they refused. Stoke school is a huge problem during drop off and pick up times. Why make this worse?
People save their money to move to the countryside to get away from town life. Building more houses will ruin what these villages have, there are hundreds of places in Herefordshire to build/fix houses for people to live in.
Please bear in mind that at the meeting it was possible to move flags on the map; not very scientific approach. PLEASE no street lights! I'd like to see more access to farmland / green space, having been threatened by local farmers when I lost my way. I would like to see dog owners who let their dogs loose on the lanes prosecuted. Those of us who like to walk have to run the gauntlet of viscous dogs.
Presentational quality of Parish newsletter - The Pump - leaves much to be desired! Content OK.
Q38 - Respondent has added "hydro electric using River Lugg".
Question 16 - "Kissing gates" are no good for pushchairs Trees - Q35 it is equally important to manage (trim & thin) trees as it is to plant them
RE Question 36 + 37 - Access to the village centre of Stoke Prior is badly affected by flooding. The drains & ditches need upgrading
RE section 16 - I don't think we need more road signs, in fact we possibly need less. I am concerned about visibility, also where signs are missing letters, hidden by bushes and also badly placed so that they obscure junctions.
Re. Q39/40. These schemes cost the poor in terms of 'green surcharge' on their energy bills whilst the well to do benefit from inflated feed in tariffs. Wind turbines destroy the visual amenity of our beautiful countryside - the very reason we chose to live here. The parish council should be encouraging / facilitating the planting of trees / woodland areas to encourage wildlife. Blood sports and wildlife crime should be addressed. Greater opposition to wildlife crime and tree felling and grubbing up of hedges is required.
Risbury would seem furthest removed from an appropriate road network.
Roads aren't built round here to support huge developments. Access should be key to any decision.
Solar Power - I feel this is an important contribution to our green energy option but would only support on buildings or small domestic units (ie not mass units on open fields)
The condition of the footpaths and bridleways in the area are appalling at present. Stiles are covered in nettles and overgrowth. Bridleways are not maintained at all and one in particular has broken glass, bricks, overgrown trees and rubbish dumped on it. Most of the others are not the width allowance and are impassable.
The hopeless internet service.
The parish council should encourage local landowners to allow gates/kissing gates to replace the stiles. The existing stiles are very difficult for our ageing population to use
The poor state of public roads in the parish.
The road loop made up of Bowley Lane, Blacksmiths Lane and Bowley Lane to Risbury Cross Roads. Should be a one way route to enter & exit Risbury.
The roads are narrow and potholes and crumbling road edges are a problem with more housing - meaning more traffic this would worsen - by the school at 8:30 - 9:00 am, 2:30 - 3:30pm this road is a nightmare.
The village needs main drainage (sewers) It was promised over 40 years ago
They should plough the fields across instead of downfield, because it causes flooding.
This depends 100% on the PLAN. If we are putting up 70m high monsters generating 800kw then NO, and if we are filling fields with solar generators farms then NO. I would support PRIVATE, small initiatives.
This is only a waste of money and intrusion on private life
Upkeep of footpaths and dog friendly stiles. Maintenance of footpaths around crop fields where appropriate.
Very concerned about speed of traffic on main road, especially motor bikes. Me, my partner and several other neighbours have been involved in incidents with motorcycles overtaking. My partner <...> had to take avoiding action on the exit of Humber Close to avoid a head on collision with an overtaking motorcycle. Has happened more than once. Traffic calming measures would improve the situation greatly.
We are an ageing community needing easier access to footpaths via replacing stiles with gates/kissing gates.
We need more information of planning for biomass units etc
We need speed camera on the Worcester Rd. This is very important. (steensbridge) And double line - junction Humber Close
We need speed camera on Worcester Road. It's very important. Steensbridge and double line-junction Humber Close
Within Stoke Prior we have <named charitable organisation> which needs good will and funding to survive, yet there is no attempt by the charity to be involved with the community. This has to be a significant, missed opportunity for both the charity and this community.
Yearly or 6 monthly reminders to all to maintain you road side hedgerows Allowing the Lengths-person to maintain the ditches & road side properly Helping re-widen our roads & making it safe for us to walk on (as it once was) Oh & bonfires - please limit to once a month or not after 8pm on wet days as the smoke hangs in the air & it's not good for anyone especially kids.

Q40. Do you have any subjects or issues you feel the NDP should tackle that are not addressed above?
Yes 1. A record of elderly, lonely and infirm members of the community - need visiting? 2. A car share/lifts set-up on the website 3. Community shop.
Yes. Press the local authority into taking more account of the Parish Council's views
You should not just concentrate your analysis from the points of views from land owners. There was also the section about businesses (Q 31 - 34) which I thought was unnecessary and feels as if it's only the land owners/wealthy person's/farmers perspective that you require - this should not be the case. Just be equal.

Catch-all for any comments written elsewhere on the questionnaire

Catch-all for any comments written elsewhere on the questionnaire
Q 26 comment 'not sure'
Q10 - Respondent has ticked the "yes" to broadband and written "ha!" beside this question Q20 - Respondent has bracketed "affordable (low cost) homes, family homes, rented accommodation and Social housing" and written "unknown".
Q12 - Respondent has written 'not important to me, but highly important to community' [ticked not important as question asks how important to you] Q22 - Respondent has written "not qualified to say" against this question.
Q12 - Respondent has, in the row 'part time post office', ticked the box fairly unimportant and written in "never knew of one" and in the row 'a local pub' ticked the box fairly unimportant and written "when was around". Q38 & 39 - Respondent has written question marks in the 'yes' column of "ground/air source heat" and "biomass units".
Q12 - Respondent in the 'part time Post Office' row in the "not important box" (?) has written "unaware". Also in the 'local pub' row after ticking the 'not important' box has written "it's gone (was nice when there)", and in the 'community week' row after ticking 'not important' box has written "never heard of it". Q13 - Respondent in the 'mud on road' row after ticking the 'a little concern' box has written "motor/cyclist/pushbike user" and in the 'surface water on the road' row after ticking the 'quite a lot of concern' box has written "dangerous" Q38 & 39 - Respondent has written question marks in the 'yes' column of the 'ground/air source heat' and 'biomass units' rows.
Q12 added comment 'don't know' to question about re-locating SP village hall
Q12 added 'in the past' to primary school option
Q12 comment 'if v. good' for local pub option Q13 Comment 'poor food' against The Lamb Inn option Q14 comment 'if it happens' against vandalism, car crime and anti social behaviour options
Q13 - Respondent has in the 'public footpath or bridleways' row after ticking the weekly box added "daily" and in the 'part time post office' row after ticking the 'almost never' box has written "as I was unaware of it" Q14 - Respondent has written after this question "I am unaware of any of the problems I have has 'no concern' about. Q15 - Respondent has written in the 'telephone service' row "my landline has been broken for a week and am waiting for it to be fixed". and in the 'mobile phone reception' row has written "I have no mobile reception". Q16 - Respondent has written in the 'excessive speed of vehicles on our roads' row "I would love to know how to do something about this" and then ticked the 'highly important' box three times. Q20 - Respondent in the 'flats/annexes' and 'bungalows' rows has written "N/A" in the 'about right at present' column.
Q13 - Respondent has written "always at work when open" in the Part time post office (Stoke Prior) row. Q16 - Respondent has written about 'the excessive speed of vehicles on our roads' - "50mph on A44 to be moved to the Leominster side of the Fairmile. Farmile to be 30mph or 40mph max until beyond P & T Moore's entrance. Traffic calming 'protrusion' at beginning of Fairmile.
Q13 - Respondent has written "at the moment" in the 'almost never' box in the local bus row. Q20 - Respondent has written a question mark in the box 'about right at present' in the 'flats/annexes' row.
Q13 - Respondent has written "never seen any in village" after part B - 'other - please specify and indicate how often'.
Q13 - Respondent has written under this question "would have to walk 1 mile to get the bus" Q14 - Respondent has written under this question "where would they put pavements in some of these villages. Q21 - Respondent has written "none". Q22 - Respondent has "Why should they give up their land".
Q13 added comment 'what footpaths' to public footpath option Q14 added comment 'what footpaths' to condition of footpaths option Q12a added comment 'not important to 78 year olds' Q16 added comment 'what footpaths' to footpath/bridleway maintenance option Q16 added comment 'stop people messing them' to signage on our roads and paths option Q18 added comment 'what is it?' Q20 Put '?' in rented accommodation and social housing options Q21 added comment 0 until we have sewerage, gas, and greatly improve the roads Q22 added comment 'not in these areas until improvements' Q24 added comment 'non unless question 23 is answered' Q26 added comment 'as above' Q27 added comment 'it used to work but not now' to youth club option Q38 put '?' in Biomass units and ground/air source heat options
Q13 comment 'daily' added to public footpath option

Catch-all for any comments written elsewhere on the questionnaire
Q13 comment 'daily' against footpaths option
Q13/Q17/Q25 comment next to question - "" please see previous comment"
Q14 - Respondent has crossed out 'footpaths' in 'condition of footpaths' row and written "bridleways" instead.
Q14 - Respondent has ticked 'quite a lot of concern' in 'dog fouling' row 3x. Q20 - Respondent has written "neither here nor there" against this question. Q38 - Respondent has written "as long as there are no odours" in the 'biomass units' row.
Q14 - Respondent has ticked the boxes 'quite a lot of concern' for 'dog fouling' and 'surface water on road' three times Q15 - Respondent has ticked the boxes 'poor' for 'broadband speeds' and 'mobile phone reception' three times Q17 - Respondent has ticked the boxes 'poor' for 'ditches' and 'drains' three times Q20 - Respondent has written "not sure" beside this question
Q14 added '+cyclists' to horses on the roads option
Q14 comment against 'dog fouling' option: 'on roads and verges!' Q14 comment against 'Horses on roads' option: 'traffic speed!' Q14 comment against 'Mud on the road' option: 'stone on road!' Q14 comment against 'Condition of footpaths' option: 'bridleway clearance!'
Q14 comment 'noise turn it down after 23.00 please' comment 'its a village' against street lights option comment 'all day long' against dog noise option comment 'need to learn the highway code' against horses on the road option Q18 comment 'have we got one' Q38 & 39 comment 'noisy' against wind turbine option
Q15 comment against broadband speed 'Very slow (Steensbridge telephone exchange)'
Q15 - Respondent has poor mobile reception has put three ticks in the box. Q20 & 21 - Respondent has put question marks against these questions
Q15 Broadband speed - written "N/A" next to question
Q15 comment added ' but I would not like to see more masts erected' Q18 comment added ' I have never seen him'
Q15 has added 'non-existent where we live' against mobile phone reception
Q16 comment 'except village hall' against parking places option
Q16, next to number/position of passing spaces: If "Rocks" outside houses to stop vehicles passing, are not allowed this should be enforced.
Q17 comment against hedges 'should be cut in Jan/Feb. Cut a bit too early!'
Q17 comment 'should cut in January/February cut too early'
Q17 comment 'very' against 'poor' option for hedges
Q18 - Respondent has written "nothing done from Stone Prior to A49 at ford.
Q18 - Respondent has written a question mark next to this.
Q19 - Respondent has tick the 'need a few more 'box and underlined the 'few'
Q19 - Respondent has written "Affordable!!" beside the ticked 'need many more' box.
Q20 - Respondent has written "as long as buyers are assessed properly!" in the 'affordable (low cost) homes row. Q21 - Respondent has written a question mark next to this question. Q28 - Respondent has written "See above!" next to this question.
Q20: wrote n/a against flats/annex option
Q21 - Respondent has created a box and written "none. Q24 - Respondent has written "none" against this question
Q21 - Respondent has written "converted" under this question
Q21 added a 0 box and ticked it Q24 Written 'NONE' in large letters over the whole question
Q21 added and ticked box for '0'
Q21 Put '0' (zero) in 1to10 option
Q21 Put 0 (zero) in 1to10 box
Q21 put '0' (zero) in tick box 1to10
Q21 put '0' in 1 to 10 option
Q21 Put '0' in 1 to 10 option
Q22 added comment 'no opinion'
Q28 - Respondent has ticked 'discourage' in 'storage and distribution row and then written in "along small lanes?" and has ticked 'encourage' in Food and drink row and then written in "cider.....vodka.....crisps!!"
Q28 comment 'B&B & holiday lets only in village centre' against Tourism option Q28 comment 'pub only' against Food & Drink option
Q28 comment 'other than pub' against 'food & drink' option
Q28 comment 'poorly defined question' against Tourism option Q38 comment 'don't understand the implications' against Biomass option Q39 comment 'as above' against Biomass option
Q29 added comment 'NB would support use for traditional crafts eg blacksmith, art, small textiles, wood working

Catch-all for any comments written elsewhere on the questionnaire
etc, based in farm buildings'
Q30 - Respondent has ticked "part-time" for the number of employees but has not given a number.
Q33 - Respondent has written "N/A" beside this question.
Q35 comment next to question - good husbandry
Q35 comments next to question - form is too complicated for many people + would be more meaningful if it wasn't so detailed
Q36 - Respondent has written "flood" in the 'stream overflow' row.
Q36 - Respondent has written "river" and crossed out 'stream' in 'stream overflow' row.
Q36 Comment next to question - added option "too much rain - high water table" ticked above "Yes" column
Q37 - Respondent has written "(COMMENT) NB always bad road flooding on A44 when it rains! (Between Fairmile and Drum turning).
Q37 added comment 'sewage plant' against Garages & Possessions options
Q38 - Respondent has added "hydroelectricity" at the bottom of this question
Q38 & 39 "?" in "Biomass units" row, "No" column
Q4 comment 'not relevant'
Q4 comment 'Presumptive'
Q4, Q5 and Q20 - Respondent has completely crossed through these questions
Q4, Q5 and Q20 - Respondent has completely crossed through these questions.
Q5 - Respondent has ticked the box "working 5 - 20 miles from home" and written 'student at <school in Hereford>' beside it. Q14b - Respondent has crossed out 'often' in the question and replaced it with "concerned". Q37 - Respondent has written "N/A" beside this question.
Q5 added in full time education but otherwise and arrow to 'at home looking for work' option Q15 added 'awful' to broadband speed option
Q5 did not tick any box but added 'college'
Q6 - Respondent has written between Stoke Prior and Ford Q18 - Respondent has put a question mark by this question.
Q6: identifying - very few people could not be identified by this question Q9: poor - pro-farmer Q18 - who says they are important? this question is not a 'fair' one - it should say 'do you think the post of lengthsman is important Q21: written and ticked a zero option (as well as ticking 1-10) Q29: question badly worded does not fit answers
Q6: over intrusive - resulting in potential lack of confidentiality. Q7: multiple ticks to rural atmosphere and quietness to show how highly important. Q13: Roads - daily (completed weekly). Q14: Street lighting is totally unacceptable in a rural area - light pollution - urbanisation. Q16: traffic calming measures are totally unacceptable and unnecessary urbanisation. Q18: (unanswered) This question assumes that the 'lengthsman' does something 'important' - leading - unanswerable. Q27: 'traditional farming' - needs to be defined. Why is organic farming not an option here? Q28: 'Tourism' - too wide a group to consider - these are separate categories in Q27 Q38 & 39: multiple ticks in 'no' to wind turbines. Q39: It would be ENTIRELY UNACCEPTABLE for the parish council to become involved in a 'green' energy project. These depend entirely upon inflated feed-in-tarriffs, paid for by the poorest members of society - 20% surcharge on bills. [Positive responses to this question have been struck through and 'morally indefensible' written across]
Q7 - Respondent has written "not able" in the 'not at all important' box for joining in community activities. Q12 - Respondent has written "not able" in the 'not important' box for the local bus service.
Q9 added comment 'don't know' Q13 added comment 'only been here 1 week so have not had the opportunity to use the above' Q36 added comment 'Don't know yet - only moved in 1 week ago'
Q9 added comment 'not enough information to comment' Q25 added comment ' the map is too small for me to read it' Q26 added comments 'why does it have to be an estate?' and 'map is too small'
Q9 comment ' I have insufficient knowledge to rate this' Q10 comment ' (I own one but do not use it)'

***** End of Report *****